

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,784	03/26/2004	Thomas Bielesch	016906-0303	7135
22428 FOLEV AND 1	7590 09/21/2007 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			KOCZO JR, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	3746		3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/809,784	BIELESCH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (a) cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	ugust 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
	closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14 and 16-20</u> is/ar		ration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 15</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 August 2004</u> is/are:				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea	-	received in this National Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.		
·					
Attachmen	nt(s)				
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		
· —	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-26-2004</u> .	6) Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the group I invention in the reply filed on August 17, 2007 is acknowledged. Claims 8 to 14 and 16 to 20 therefore stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too short. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: numeral 106.

The drawings are also objected to because the lines are rough and not uniformly drawn, and because of solid black (fig. 2).

Art Unit: 3746

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 does not recite any structure to further limit a preceding claim. It is therefore not clear what is the intended limiting effect of this claim. Furthermore, it is not clear what structure is intended to be cooled.

In claim 6, there is no antecedent basis for "the tube". It is also not clear what structure is intended to be cooled.

Claim 6 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a

Art Unit: 3746

gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: it is not clear where the air is discharged for cooling.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 to 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bercot et al (US 5,816,781). Bercot et al disclose a duct fan having a motor 1, an impeller 2, and a distributor having a plurality of vanes 4 which connect the duct 3A and the motor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 to 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coppus (US 2,271,627) in view of Troller et al (US 2,397,171). Coppus et al disclose a duct fan having a vane motor 8, an impeller 4 which is driven by the vane motor, and ducts 2 which connect the duct and the motor. The ducts 2 serve to support the motor, and to supply motive and cooling fluid to the motor. However, Coppus et al do not disclose that the ducts 2 are in the shape of vanes. Troller et al disclose a duct fan having motor support vanes 13. Having the

motor support structure in the shape of vanes reduces flow resistance and therefore improves the flow through the duct. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to shape the ducts 2 of Coppus in the shape of vanes. Troller et al also disclose a motor driven fan 29 to aid in supplying cooling air to the motor. It would therefore have been obvious to provide the duct fan of Coppus et al with a motor driven cooling fan.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony D. Stashick can be reached at 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746